

# Modelling of non-uniform washcoat in catalytic monolith reactors

**M. Walander<sup>1\*</sup>, J. Sjöblom<sup>1\*\*</sup>, D. Creaser<sup>2</sup>, B. Lundberg<sup>3</sup>, S. Tamm<sup>4</sup> and J. Edvardsson<sup>4</sup>**

1: Mechanics and Maritime Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96, Göteborg (Sweden)

2: Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96, Göteborg (Sweden)


3: Volvo Car Corporation, SE-418 78, Göteborg (Sweden) 4: Johnson Matthey, SE-421 31, Göteborg (Sweden)

\*magwal@chalmers.se, +4676 - 833 53 20, \*\*jonas.sjoblom@chalmers.se


## WASHCOAT CHARACTERIZATION

- Most 1+1d models assume uniform washcoat with global properties
- SEM (Scanning Electron Microscope) to approximate *global* and *local* porosity using *imageJ*
- IGA (Intelligent Gravimetric Analysis) to measure washcoat diffusivity [1]
- Input for parallel simulations to account for non-uniformity and tangential variations in properties

Sectionalizing principle, 3 sections



- Tortuosity,  $\tau \approx 4$
- Porosity,  $\epsilon \approx [0.81 \ 0.83 \ 0.86]$
- Unused washcoat showed up to 6.2 % higher local porosity in corners




- Washcoat thickness,  $d_{wsc}$  92 % higher in corners
- $N = 3$  slices gives good tradeoff


**Acknowledgements:** All project members along with the technical support at Johnson Matthey are deeply acknowledged for their help with performing and analyzing the experiments. The Swedish Energy Agency (FFI project 42814-1) is acknowledged for financial support.

## PARALLEL 1+1D MODEL


- Sectioning principle based on equal angle
- Assumes no tangential mass transfer (between slices)



Light-off simulation 100 ppm NO



Tangential mass transfer



- Tangential concentration profiles are similar
- Ratio Radial : Tangential = 83
- Ratio Radial : Axial = 14 000

## CONCLUSIONS

- IGA and SEM enabled tangentially resolved washcoat diffusivities – important for highly predictive reactor models.
- With global porosity, conversion decreases due to slightly thicker washcoat.
- With local porosity, conversion increases due to higher diffusivity in corners.

## References:

[1] – Ruthven DM. Diffusion in type A zeolites: New insights from old data. Microporous and Mesoporous Materials. 2012;162:69-79.